

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,967	10/10/2003	Sheri Lynn Baker	CFLAY.00197	1851
22858 75	590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER	
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON, LLP			KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE	
	D BOX 802334 ALLAS, TX 75380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/683,967	BAKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal materials	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-16 and 27-43</u> is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 17-26</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from conside	ration
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	- •	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Salmon Patties" in view of Ellis for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Salmon Patties" in view of Ellis in further view of "A Dinner Experiment" and "Dried Food Products" for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Food Product Design" in view of "Salmon Patties" and Ellis for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of "Salmon Patties." Ellis discloses corn chips that are made from toasted, sheeted, freshly-made masa dough derived from ground whole dent corn kernels (column 2, lines 30-34), wherein the regrind has a moisture content of less than 2% by weight (column 3, lines 47-50) and an oil content of 2-30% by weight (column 2, lines 34-39). "Salmon Patties" discloses the use of ground corn chips as a food additive. As

such, it would have been obvious to use any corn chips, such as those of Ellis in the same manner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 13-14, filed August 18, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 26 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection was made over Ellis in view of "Salmon Patties."

Applicant's other arguments filed August 15, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Murray et al., U.S. Patent 3,407,070, teaches away from the use of a predominant amount of starch derived from waxy corn as a raw material for ready-to-eat food products. However, this patent was not part of the rejection and in any case is most because Ellis teaches the use of waxy corn in ready-to-eat products.

Applicant also argues that Ellis does not address Applicant's particular problem or solution for creating a concentrated toasted-flavor additive for enhancing the toasted flavor and toasted appearance of food products. However, "Salmon Patties" was relied on as evidence that such a problem was known to one of ordinary skill in the art and had also previously been addressed in the art.

Applicant argues that Ellis teaches away from the use of dent corn in low-oil content products. However, Ellis discloses a food product comprising a blend of dent

Application/Control Number: 10/683,967

Art Unit: 1761

and waxy corn masa and having an oil content ranging from about 2 to about 30 wt.%

(column 2, lines 34-39). The claim uses "comprises" which is open-ended and there is

nothing in the claim language to exclude waxy corn masa. As Ellis does teach the use

of dent corn masa, the prior art still reads on the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sarah L. Kuhns whose telephone number is 571-272-

1088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached at 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

SLK